

AFFINE TYPE A CRYSTAL STRUCTURE ON TENSOR PRODUCTS OF RECTANGLES, DEMAZURE CHARACTERS, AND NILPOTENT VARIETIES

MARK SHIMOZONO

ABSTRACT. Answering a question of Kuniba, Misra, Okado, Takagi, and Uchiyama, it is shown that certain Demazure characters of affine type A, coincide with the graded characters of coordinate rings of closures of conjugacy classes of nilpotent matrices.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [9, Theorem 5.2] it was shown that the characters of certain level one Demazure modules of type $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$, when decomposed as linear combinations of irreducible characters of type A_{n-1} , have coefficients given by Kostka-Foulkes polynomials in the variable $q = e^{-\delta}$ where δ is the null root. The key steps in the proof are that

1. The Demazure crystals are isomorphic to tensor products of classical $\widehat{sl}_n$ crystals indexed by fundamental weights [10].
2. The generating function over these crystals by weight and energy function is equal to the generating function over column-strict Young tableaux by weight and charge [22].
3. The Kostka-Foulkes polynomial is the coefficient of an irreducible sl_n -character in the tableau generating function [1] [17].

The main result of this paper is that for a Demazure module of arbitrary level whose lowest weight is a multiple of one of those in [9], the corresponding coefficient polynomial is the Poincaré polynomial of an isotypic component of the coordinate ring of the closure of the conjugacy class of a nilpotent matrix. The Poincaré polynomial is the q -analogue of the multiplicity of an irreducible $gl(n)$ -module in a tensor product of irreducible $gl(n)$ -modules in which each factor has highest weight given by a rectangular (that is, a multiple of a fundamental) weight. These polynomials, which possess many properties generalizing those of the Kostka-Foulkes, have been studied extensively using algebro-geometric and combinatorial methods [8] [13] [24] [25] [30] [31].

The connection between the Demazure modules and the nilpotent adjoint orbit closures can be explained as follows. Let X_μ be the Zariski closure of the conjugacy class of the nilpotent Jordan matrix with block sizes given by the transpose partition μ^t of μ , that is,

$$X_\mu = \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid \dim \ker A^i \geq \mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_i \text{ for all } i\}$$

Lusztig gave an embedding of the variety X_μ as an open dense subset of a P -stable Schubert variety Y_μ in $\widehat{SL}_n/P$, where $P \cong SL_n$ is the parabolic subgroup given by “omitting the reflection r_0 ” [19]. The desired level l Demazure module, viewed as an sl_n -module, is isomorphic to the dual of the space of global sections

$H^0(Y_\mu, \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda_0}^{\otimes l})$, where $\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda_0}$ is the restriction to Y_μ of the homogeneous line bundle on $\widehat{SL}_n/P$ affording the fundamental weight Λ_0 .

The proof of the main result entails generalizations of the three steps in the proof of [9, Theorem 5.2]. First, the methods of [9] may be used to show that the Demazure crystal is isomorphic to a classical $\widehat{sl}_n$ crystal B that is a tensor product of crystals of the form $B^{k,l}$ (notation as in [7]). We call $B^{k,l}$ a rectangular crystal since it is indexed by the weight $l\Lambda_k$ that corresponds to the rectangular partition with k rows and l columns.

Second, it is shown that the crystal B is indexed by sequences of Young tableaux of rectangular shape equipped with a generalized charge map. In particular, we give explicit descriptions in terms of tableaux and the Robinson-Schensted-Knuth correspondence, of

- The zero-th crystal raising operator $\tilde{e}_0$ acting on B , which involves the generalized cyclage operators of [24] on LR tableaux and a promotion operator on column-strict tableaux.
- The combinatorial R -matrices on a tensor product of the form $B^{k_1, l_1} \otimes B^{k_2, l_2}$, which are given by a combination of the generalized automorphism of conjugation [24] and the energy function.
- The energy function, which equals the generalized charge of [13] [24].

Moreover, it is shown that every generalized cocyclage relation [24] on LR tableaux may be realized by $\tilde{e}_0$. The formula for the corresponding change in the energy function by $\tilde{e}_0$ was known [22] in the case that all rectangles are single rows or all are single columns.

Third, it must be shown that the tableau formula coincides with the Poincaré polynomial. This was accomplished in [24], where it is shown that the tableau formula satisfies a defining recurrence of Weyman [31] [32] for the Poincaré polynomials that is closely related to Morris' recurrence for Kostka-Foulkes polynomials [21].

As an application of the formula for the energy function, we give a very simple proof of a monotonicity property for the Poincaré polynomials (conjectured by A. N. Kirillov) that extends the monotonicity property of the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials that was proved by Han [4].

Thanks to M. Okado for pointing out the reference [26] which has considerable overlap with this paper and [24].

2. NOTATION AND STATEMENT OF MAIN RESULT

2.1. Quantized universal enveloping algebras. For this paper we only require the following three algebras: $U_q(sl_n) \subset U'_q(\widehat{sl}_n) \subset U_q(\widehat{sl}_n)$.

Let us recall some definitions for quantized universal enveloping algebras taken from [5] and [6]. Consider the following data: a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$ -module P (weight lattice), a set I (index set for Dynkin diagram), elements $\{\alpha_i \mid i \in I\}$ (basic roots) and $\{h_i \in P^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P, \mathbb{Z}) \mid i \in I\}$ (basic coroots) such that $(\langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle)_{i,j \in I}$ is a generalized Cartan matrix, and a symmetric form $(\cdot, \cdot) : P \times P \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ such that $(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is positive, $\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle = 2(\alpha_i, \lambda)/(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)$ for $i \in I$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes P$.

This given, let $U_q(\widehat{sl}_n)$ be the quantized universal enveloping algebra, the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra with generators $\{e_i, f_i \mid i \in I\}$ and $\{q^h \mid h \in P^*\}$ and relations as in [6, Section 2].

For $U_q(\widehat{sl}_n)$, let $I = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ be the index set for the Dynkin diagram, $(a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ the Cartan matrix of type $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$, P the free $\mathbb{Z}$ -module with basis $\{\Lambda_i \mid i \in I\} \cup \{\delta\}$ (fundamental weights) and let P^* have dual basis $\{h_i \mid i \in I\} \cup \{d\}$. Define the elements $\{\alpha_i \in P \mid i \in I\}$ by

$$\alpha_i = \delta_{0i}\delta + \sum_{j \in I} a_{ij}\Lambda_j,$$

so that $(\langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle)_{i,j \in I}$ is the Cartan matrix of type $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ and $\delta = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i$. Define the symmetric $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ by $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = a_{ij}$ for $i, j \in I$, $(\alpha_i, \Lambda_0) = \delta_{i0}$ for $i \in I$, and $(\Lambda_0, \Lambda_0) = 0$. Then the quantized universal enveloping algebra for this data is $U_q(\widehat{sl}_n)$. Let $P^+ = \mathbb{Z}_+\delta \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_+\Lambda_i$ be the dominant weights. For $\Lambda \in P^+$ let $\mathcal{V}(\Lambda)$ be the irreducible integrable highest weight $U_q(\widehat{sl}_n)$ -module of highest weight Λ , $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda)$ its crystal graph, and $u_\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\Lambda)$ the highest weight vector.

For $U'_q(\widehat{sl}_n)$, let I and (a_{ij}) be as above, but instead of P use the “classical weight lattice” $P_{cl} = P/\mathbb{Z}\delta = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}\Lambda_i$ (where by abuse of notation the image of Λ_i in P_{cl} is also denoted Λ_i). The basic coroots $\{h_i \mid i \in I\}$ form a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of P_{cl}^* . The basic roots are $\{\bar{\alpha}_i \mid i \in I\}$ where $\bar{\alpha}_i$ denotes the image of α_i in P_{cl} for $i \in I$. Note that the basic roots are linearly dependent. The pairing and symmetric form are induced by those above. The algebra for this data is denoted $U'_q(\widehat{sl}_n)$ and may be viewed as a subalgebra of $U_q(\widehat{sl}_n)$ since its generators are a subset of those of $U_q(\widehat{sl}_n)$ and its relations map to relations. Let $P_{cl}^+ = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_+\Lambda_i$. For $\Lambda \in P^+$, the $U_q(\widehat{sl}_n)$ -module $\mathcal{V}(\Lambda)$ is a $U'_q(\widehat{sl}_n)$ -module by restriction and weights are taken modulo δ .

For $U_q(sl_n)$, let $J = \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\} \subset I$ be the index set for the Dynkin diagram, $(a_{ij})_{i,j \in J}$ the restriction of the above Cartan matrix to $J \times J$, and $\bar{P}_{cl} = P_{cl}/\mathbb{Z}\Lambda_0$ the weight lattice. The basic coroots $\{h_i \in \bar{P}_{cl}^* \mid i \in J\}$ form a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of $\bar{P}_{cl}^* = \bigoplus_{i \in J} \mathbb{Z}h_i$. The basic roots are $\{\bar{\alpha}_i \in \bar{P}_{cl} \mid i \in J\}$. The algebra for this data is $U_q(sl_n)$, which can be viewed as a subalgebra of $U'_q(\widehat{sl}_n)$. Let $\bar{P}_{cl}^+ = \bigoplus_{i \in J} \mathbb{Z}_+\Lambda_i$ be the dominant integral weights. For $\lambda \in \bar{P}_{cl}^+$ let V^λ be the irreducible $U_q(sl_n)$ -module of highest weight λ , and B^λ its crystal graph.

Denote by W the Weyl group of the algebra $\widehat{sl}_n$ and by $\bar{W}$ that of sl_n . W is the subgroup of automorphisms of P generated by the simple reflections $\{r_i \mid i \in I\}$ where

$$r_i(\lambda) = \lambda - \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle \alpha_i.$$

Let $\bar{Q} = \bigoplus_{i \in J} \mathbb{Z}\bar{\alpha}_i \subset P_{cl}$. W acts faithfully on the affine subspace $X = \Lambda_0 + \bar{Q} \subset P_{cl}$. For $\lambda \in \bar{Q}$ write τ_λ for the map $X \rightarrow X$ given by translation by λ . Let $\theta = \sum_{i \in J} \bar{\alpha}_i \in P_{cl}$. Then the action of r_i on X for $i \in J$ is given by

$$r_i(\bar{\lambda}) = \bar{\lambda} - \langle h_i, \bar{\lambda} \rangle \bar{\alpha}_i,$$

for $\bar{\lambda} \in X$ and $r_0 = \tau_\theta r_\theta$, where

$$r_\theta = r_1 \dots r_{n-2} r_{n-1} r_{n-2} \dots r_1$$

is the reflection through the hyperplane orthogonal to θ . Then $W \cong \bar{W} \ltimes \bar{Q}$ where the element $\lambda \in \bar{Q}$ acts by τ_λ .

For $\Lambda \in P^+$ and $w \in W$ the Demazure module of lowest weight $w\Lambda$ is defined by $\mathcal{V}_w(\Lambda) = U_q(\mathfrak{b})v_{w\Lambda}$ where $v_{w\Lambda}$ is a generator of the (one dimensional) extremal

weight space in $\mathcal{V}(\Lambda)$ of weight $w\Lambda$ and $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ is the subalgebra of $U_q(\widehat{sl}_n)$ generated by the e_i and $h \in P^*$.

2.2. Main result. Let μ be a partition of n . The coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[X_\mu]$ of the nilpotent adjoint orbit closure X_μ has a graded sl_n -action induced by matrix conjugation on X_μ . For $\lambda \in \overline{P}_{cl}^+$, define the Poincaré polynomial of the λ -th isotypic component of $\mathbb{C}[X_\mu]$, by

$$K_{\lambda;\mu}(q) = \sum_{d \geq 0} q^d \dim \text{Hom}_{sl_n}(V^\lambda, \mathbb{C}[X_\mu]_d)$$

where $\mathbb{C}[X_\mu]_d$ is the homogeneous component of degree d .

Partitions with at most n parts are projected to dominant integral weights of sl_n by $\mu \mapsto \text{wt}_{sl}(\mu)$, where

$$\text{wt}_{sl}(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\mu_i - \mu_{i+1}) \Lambda_i \in \overline{P}_{cl}^+.$$

Remark 1. Warning: this is not the Kostka polynomial, but a generalization; see [31]. In the special case that λ is a partition of n with at most n parts then $K_{\text{wt}_{sl}\lambda;\mu}(q) = \tilde{K}_{\lambda^t\mu}(q)$ which is a renormalization of the Kostka-Foulkes polynomial with indices λ^t and μ .

Theorem 2. Let l be a positive integer, μ a partition of n , and $w_\mu \in W$ the translation by the antidominant weight $-w_0 \text{wt}_{sl}(\mu^t) \in \overline{P}_{cl}$, where w_0 is the longest element of $\overline{W}$. Then

$$e^{-l\Lambda_0} \text{ch} \mathcal{V}_{w_\mu}(l\Lambda_0) = \sum_{\lambda} K_{\text{wt}_{sl}(\lambda);\mu}(q) \text{ch} V^{\text{wt}_{sl}(\lambda)}$$

where λ runs over the partitions of the multiple ln of n with at most n parts.

3. CRYSTAL STRUCTURE ON TENSOR PRODUCTS OF RECTANGLES

The goal of this section is to give explicit descriptions of the classical $\widehat{sl}_n$ crystal structure on tensor products of rectangular crystals and their energy functions. This is accomplished by translating the theory of sl_n crystals and classical $\widehat{sl}_n$ crystals in [6] [7] [11] [22] into the language of Young tableaux and the Robinson-Schensted-Knuth (RSK) correspondence.

3.1. Crystals. This section reviews the definition of a weighted crystal [6] and gives the convention used here for the tensor product of crystals.

A P -weighted I -crystal is a weighted I -colored directed graph B , that is, a set equipped with a weight function $\text{wt} : B \rightarrow P$ and directed edges colored by the set I , satisfying the following properties.

(C1) There are no multiple edges; that is, for each $i \in I$ and $b, b' \in B$ there is at most one edge colored i from b to b' .

If such an edge exists, this is denoted $b' = \tilde{f}_i(b)$ or equivalently $b = \tilde{e}_i(b')$, by abuse of the notation of a function $B \rightarrow B$. It is said that $\tilde{f}_i(b)$ is defined or equivalently that $\tilde{e}_i(b')$ is defined, if the edge exists.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i(b) &= \max\{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \mid \tilde{f}_i^m(b) \text{ is defined}\} \\ \epsilon_i(b) &= \max\{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \mid \tilde{e}_i^m(b) \text{ is defined.}\} \end{aligned}$$

- (C2) If $\tilde{f}_i(b)$ is defined then $\text{wt}(\tilde{f}_i(b)) = \text{wt}(b) - \alpha_i$. Equivalently, $\text{wt}(\tilde{e}_i(b)) = \text{wt}(b) + \alpha_i$.
- (C3) $\langle h_i, \text{wt}(b) \rangle = \phi_i(b) - \epsilon_i(b)$.

If B_j is a P -weighted I -crystal for $1 \leq j \leq m$, the Cartesian product $B_m \times \cdots \times B_1$ can be given a crystal structure as follows; this crystal is denoted $B = B_m \otimes \cdots \otimes B_1$. The convention used here is opposite that in much of the literature but is convenient for the tableau combinatorics used later. Let $b_j \in B_j$ and $b = b_m \otimes \cdots \otimes b_1 \in B$. The weight function on B is given by

$$\text{wt}(b) = \sum_{j=1}^m \text{wt}(b_j).$$

The root operators $\tilde{f}_i$ and the functions ϕ_i are defined by the “signature rule”. Given $b \in B$ and $i \in I$, construct a biword (sequence of pairs of letters) consisting of $\phi_i(b_j)$ copies of the biletter $\begin{pmatrix} j \\ - \end{pmatrix}$ and $\epsilon_i(b_j)$ copies of the biletter $\begin{pmatrix} j \\ + \end{pmatrix}$ for all j , sorted in weakly increasing order by the order $\begin{pmatrix} j \\ \pm \end{pmatrix} < \begin{pmatrix} j' \\ \pm \end{pmatrix}$ if $j > j'$ and $\begin{pmatrix} j \\ - \end{pmatrix} < \begin{pmatrix} j \\ + \end{pmatrix}$. This biword is now repeatedly reduced by removing adjacent biletters whose lower letters are $+-$ in that order. If $+$ and $-$ are viewed as left and right parentheses then this removes matching pairs of parentheses. At the end one obtains a biword whose lower word has the form $-^s +^t$. Then define $\phi_i(b) = s$ and $\epsilon_i(b) = t$. If $s > 0$ (resp. $t > 0$) let j_- (resp. j_+) be the upper letter corresponding to the rightmost $-$ (resp. leftmost $+$) in the reduced biword, and define

$$\tilde{f}_i(b) = b_m \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{1+j_-} \otimes \tilde{f}_i(b_{j_-}) \otimes b_{-1+j_-} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_1,$$

respectively,

$$\tilde{e}_i(b) = b_m \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{1+j_+} \otimes \tilde{e}_i(b_{j_+}) \otimes b_{-1+j_+} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_1.$$

A morphism $g : B \rightarrow B'$ of P -weighted I -crystals is a map g that preserves weights and satisfies $g(\tilde{f}_i(b)) = \tilde{f}_i(g(b))$ for all $i \in I$ and $b \in B$, that is, if $\tilde{f}_i(b)$ is defined then $\tilde{f}_i(g(b))$ is, and the above equality holds.

It is easily verified that the P -weighted I -crystals form a tensor category.

We only require the following kinds of crystals.

1. The crystal graphs of integrable $U_q(\widehat{sl}_n)$ -modules are P -weighted I -crystals and are called $\widehat{sl}_n$ -crystals.
2. The crystal graphs of $U'_q(\widehat{sl}_n)$ -modules that are either integrable or are finite-dimensional and have a weight space decomposition, are P_{cl} -weighted I -crystals and are called classical $\widehat{sl}_n$ -crystals.
3. The crystal graphs of integrable $U_q(sl_n)$ -modules are $\overline{P}_{cl}$ -weighted J -crystals and are called sl_n -crystals.

3.2. Crystal reflection operator and Weyl group action. Let B be an sl_n crystal and $i \in J$. Write $p = \phi_i(b) - \epsilon_i(b)$. Define

$$\tilde{r}_i(b) = \begin{cases} \tilde{f}_i^p(b) & \text{if } p > 0 \\ b & \text{if } p = 0 \\ \tilde{e}_i^{-p}(b) & \text{if } p < 0 \end{cases}$$

The Weyl group $\overline{W}$ acts on B by $r_i(b) = \tilde{r}_i(b)$ for $i \in J$. It is obvious that $\tilde{r}_i$ is an involution and that $\tilde{r}_i$ and $\tilde{r}_j$ commute for $|i - j| > 1$, but not at all obvious

that the $\tilde{r}_i$ satisfy the other braid relation. A combinatorial proof of this fact is indicated in [18] for the action of $\overline{W}$ on an irreducible sl_n crystal.

3.3. Irreducible sl_n crystals. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ be a partition of length at most n . Let V^λ be the irreducible $U_q(sl_n)$ -module of highest weight $\text{wt}_{\text{sl}}(\lambda)$ and B^λ its crystal. In [11] the structure of the sl_n crystal B^λ is determined explicitly. The crystal B^λ may be indexed by the set $\text{CST}(\lambda)$ of column-strict tableaux of shape λ with entries in the set $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. The combinatorial construction yielding the action of the crystal operators $\tilde{e}_i$ and $\tilde{f}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ on tableaux was already known. In a 1938 paper, in the course of proving the Littlewood-Richardson rule, G. de B. Robinson gave a form of the Robinson-Schensted-Knuth (RSK) correspondence which is defined by giving the value of the map on sl_n -highest weight vectors and then extending it by via canonical sequences of raising operators $\tilde{f}_i$ [23, Section 5]; see also [20, I.9] where Robinson's proof is cleaned up.

Suppose first that $\lambda = (1)$ so B^λ is the crystal of the defining representation of sl_n . This crystal is indexed by the set $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\tilde{f}_i(j)$ is defined if and only if $j = i$ and in that case $\tilde{f}_i(i) = i + 1$.

Next consider the tensor product $(B^{(1)})^{\otimes m}$. It may be indexed by words $u = u_m \dots u_1$ of length m in the alphabet $[n]$, where $u_j \in [n]$. Its sl_n crystal structure is defined by the signature rule. This case of the signature rule is given in [18].

Now it is necessary to introduce notation for Young tableaux.

Some definitions are required. The Ferrers diagram $D(\lambda)$ is the set of pairs of integers $D(\lambda) = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2 \mid 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i\}$. A skew shape $D = \lambda/\mu$ is the set difference of the Ferrers diagrams $D(\lambda)$ and $D(\mu)$ of the partitions λ and μ . If D and E are skew shapes such that D has c columns and E has r rows, then define the skew shape

$$D \otimes E = \{(i+r, j) \mid (i, j) \in D\} \cup \{(i, j+c) \mid (i, j) \in E\}.$$

In other words, $D \otimes E$ is the union of a translate of D located to the southwest of a translate of E .

A tableau of (skew) shape D is a function $T : D \rightarrow [n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and is depicted as a partial matrix whose (i, j) -th entry is $T(i, j)$ for all $(i, j) \in D$. Denote by $\text{shape}(T)$ the domain of T . The tableau T is said to be column-strict if $T(i, j) \leq T(i, j+1)$ for all $(i, j), (i, j+1) \in D$ and $T(i, j) < T(i+1, j)$ for all $(i, j), (i+1, j) \in D$. Let $\text{CST}(D)$ be the set of column-strict tableaux of shape D . The content of a tableau is the sequence

$$\text{content}(T) = (m_1(T), \dots, m_n(T))$$

where $m_i(T)$ is the number of occurrences of the letter i in T . Let $\text{CST}(D, \gamma)$ denote the set of column-strict tableaux of shape D and content γ . The (row-reading) word of the tableau T is the word given by $\text{word}(T) = \cdots v^2 v^1$ where v^i is the word obtained by reading the i -th row of T from left to right. Say that the word u fits the skew shape D if there is a column-strict tableau (necessarily unique) whose row-reading word is u .

Remark 3. Let D be a skew shape, u a word in the alphabet $[n]$ and $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. It is well-known and easy to verify that if $\tilde{e}_i(u)$ is defined, then u fits D if and only if $\tilde{e}_i(u)$ does. This given, if T is a column-strict tableau of shape D and $\tilde{e}_i(\text{word}(T))$

is defined, then let $\tilde{e}_i(T)$ be the unique column-strict tableau of shape D such that $\text{word}(\tilde{e}_i(T)) = \tilde{e}_i(\text{word}(T))$. The same can be done for $\tilde{f}_i$.

Thus the set $\text{CST}(D)$ is an sl_n crystal; call it B^D . When $D = D(\lambda)$ this is the crystal B^λ .

3.4. Tensor products of irreducible sl_n crystals and RSK. Let D be a skew diagram and B^D the sl_n crystal defined in the previous section. The RSK correspondence yields a combinatorial decomposition of B into irreducible sl_n crystals. The RSK map can be applied to tensor products of irreducible sl_n crystals. The goal of this section is to review a well-known parametrizing set for the multiplicity space of such a tensor product, by what we shall call Littlewood-Richardson (LR) tableaux.

Let $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_m)$ be a sequence of positive integers summing to n and $R = (R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m)$ a sequence of partitions such that R_i has η_i parts, some of which may be zero. Let A_1 be the first η_1 numbers in $[n]$, A_2 the next η_2 , and so on. Recall the skew shape $R_m \otimes \dots \otimes R_1$, embedded in the plane so that A_i gives the set of row indices for R_i . Let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ where γ_i is the length of the i -th row of $R_m \otimes \dots \otimes R_1$, that is, γ is obtained by juxtaposing the partitions R_1 through R_m . The tensor product crystal may be viewed as a skew crystal:

$$B^R := B^{R_m \otimes \dots \otimes R_1} \cong B^{R_m} \otimes \dots \otimes B^{R_1}.$$

Let $b \in B^R$ and write $b = b_m \otimes \dots \otimes b_1$ where $b_i \in \text{CST}(R_i)$. Let v_r for the weakly increasing word (of length γ_r) comprising the r -th row of b , for $1 \leq r \leq n$. The word of b regarded as a skew column-strict tableau, is given by $\text{word}(b) = v_n \dots v_1$.

Recall Knuth's equivalence on words [12]. Say that a skew shape is normal (resp. antinormal) if it has a unique northwest (resp. southeast) corner cell [3]. A normal skew shape is merely a translation in the plane of a partition shape, and an antinormal shape is the 180-degree rotation of a normal shape. For any word v , there is a unique (up to translation) column-strict tableau $P(v)$ of normal shape such that v is Knuth equivalent to the word of $P(v)$. There is also a unique (up to translation) skew column-strict tableau $P_{\searrow}(v)$ of antinormal shape such that v is Knuth equivalent to the word of $P_{\searrow}(v)$.

The tableau $P(v)$ may be computed by Schensted's column-insertion algorithm [27]. For a subinterval $A \subset [n]$ and a (skew) column-strict tableau T , define $T|_A$ to be the skew column-strict tableau obtained by restricting T to A , that is, removing from T the letters that are not in A . Define the pair of column-strict tableaux $(\mathbb{P}(b), \mathbb{Q}(b))$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(b) &= P(\text{word}(b)) \\ \text{shape}(\mathbb{Q}(b)|_{[r]}) &= \text{shape}(P(v_r \dots v_1)) \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq r \leq n \end{aligned}$$

By definition $\text{shape}(\mathbb{P}(b)) = \text{shape}(\mathbb{Q}(b))$. It is easy to show that $\mathbb{Q}(b)$ is column-strict and of content γ . This gives an embedding

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} B^R &\hookrightarrow \bigcup_{\lambda} \text{CST}(\lambda) \times \text{CST}(\lambda, \gamma) \\ b &\mapsto (\mathbb{P}(b), \mathbb{Q}(b)) \end{aligned}$$

It is well-known that this is a map of sl_n crystals. That is, if g is any of $\tilde{e}_i$, $\tilde{f}_i$, or $\tilde{r}_i$ for $i \in J$, then

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(g(b)) &= g(\mathbb{P}(b)) \\ \mathbb{Q}(g(b)) &= \mathbb{Q}(b) \end{aligned}$$

For the case $g = \tilde{r}_i$ this fact is in [18].

Let us describe the image of the map (3.1). For the partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and a permutation w in the symmetric group S_n , the key tableau $\text{Key}(w\lambda)$ of content $w\lambda$, is the unique column-strict tableau of shape λ and content $w\lambda$. In the above notation for the sequence of partitions R , for $1 \leq j \leq m$ let $Y_j = \text{Key}(R_j)$ in the alphabet A_j .

Say that a word u in the alphabet $[n]$ is R -LR (short for R -Littlewood-Richardson) if $P(u|_{A_j}) = Y_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$, where $u|_{A_j}$ is the restriction of the word u to the subalphabet $A_j \subset [n]$. Say that a (possibly skew) column-strict tableau is R -LR if its row-reading word is. Denote by $\text{LRT}(\lambda; R)$ the R -LR tableaux of partition shape λ and $\text{LRT}(R) = \bigcup_{\lambda} \text{LRT}(\lambda; R)$.

The following theorem is essentially a special case of [32, Theorem 1] which is a strong version of the classical rule of Littlewood and Richardson [16].

Theorem 4. *The map (3.1) gives a bijection*

$$(3.3) \quad B^R \cong \bigcup_{\lambda} \text{CST}(\lambda) \times \text{LRT}(\lambda; R).$$

3.5. Kostka crystals. In the case that $m = n$ and $R_i = (\gamma_i)$ for $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, we call B^R a Kostka crystal. The set $\text{LRT}(\lambda; R)$ is merely the set $\text{CST}(\lambda, \gamma)$.

Let $R = (R_1, \dots, R_m)$ be a sequence of rectangles as usual. Define the Kostka crystal $B^{\text{rows}(R)}$ by the sequence of one row partitions $\text{rows}(R)$ whose r -th partition is given by (γ_r) where γ_r is the length of the r -th row of the skew shape $R_m \otimes \dots \otimes R_1$. Letting $b \in B = B^R$ and v_r the r -th row of b , there is the obvious sl_n crystal embedding

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} B^R &\hookrightarrow B^{\text{rows}(R)} \\ b &\mapsto v_n \otimes \dots \otimes v_1. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, the RSK correspondence (3.3) may be defined using the commutativity of the diagram in (3.2) for $g = \tilde{f}_i$ and all $i \in J$, and giving its values on the sl_n -highest weight elements in B [23]. Suppose $b \in B$ is such that $\text{word}(b)$ is of sl_n -highest weight, that is, $\epsilon_i(b) = 0$ for all $i \in J$. Such words are said to possess the lattice property. In this case $\text{content}(\text{word}(b))$ must be a partition, say λ , and $\mathbb{P}(b) = P(\text{word}(b)) = \text{Key}(\lambda)$. For the recording tableau, write $\text{word}(b) = v_n \otimes \dots \otimes v_1$ where v_r is the r -th row of b viewed as a tableau of the skew shape $R_m \otimes \dots \otimes R_1$. Then $\mathbb{Q}(b)$ is the column-strict tableau of shape equal to $\text{content}(b)$, whose i -th row contains m copies of the letter j if and only if the word v_j contains m copies of the letter i , for all i and j . In particular, if elements $b, b' \in B$ admit the same sequences of raising operators, then $\mathbb{P}(b) = \mathbb{P}(b')$.

3.6. Rectangle-switching bijections. From now on we consider only crystals B^R where $R_j = (\mu_j^{\eta_j})$ is the partition with η_j rows and μ_j columns for $1 \leq j \leq m$.

Consider the case $m = 2$, with $R = (R_1, R_2)$, $r_1 R = (R_2, R_1)$, $B = B^R = B^{R_2} \otimes B^{R_1}$ and $r_1 B = B^{r_1 R} = B^{R_1} \otimes B^{R_2}$. Since the $U_q(sl_n)$ -module $V^{R_2} \otimes$

$V^{R_1} \cong V^{R_1} \otimes V^{R_2}$ is multiplicity-free, it follows that there is a unique sl_n crystal isomorphism

$$\sigma_{R_2, R_1} : B^{R_2} \otimes B^{R_1} \cong B^{R_1} \otimes B^{R_2}.$$

It is defined explicitly in terms of the RSK correspondence as follows. By the above multiplicity-freeness, for any partition λ ,

$$|\text{LRT}(\lambda; (R_1, R_2))| = |\text{LRT}(\lambda; (R_2, R_1))| \leq 1.$$

Thus there is a unique bijection

$$\tau = \tau_{\lambda; (R_2, R_1)} : \text{LRT}(\lambda; (R_1, R_2)) \rightarrow \text{LRT}(\lambda; (R_2, R_1)).$$

For later use, extend τ to a bijection from the set of (R_1, R_2) -LR words to the set of (R_2, R_1) -LR words by

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} P(\tau(u)) &= \tau(P(u)) \\ Q(\tau(u)) &= Q(u) \end{aligned}$$

where $Q(u)$ is the standard column-insertion recording tableau (that is, $Q(u) = \mathbb{Q}(u)$ with u regarded as a word in the tensor product $(B^{(1)})^{\otimes N}$ where N is the length of u).

τ is the rectangular generalization of an automorphism of conjugation. σ is defined by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B^{R_1 \otimes R_2} & \xrightarrow{\text{RSK}} & \bigcup_{\lambda} \text{CST}(\lambda) \times \text{LRT}(\lambda; (R_1, R_2)) \\ \sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \bigcup_{\lambda} \text{id} \times \tau \\ B^{R_2 \otimes R_1} & \xrightarrow{\text{RSK}} & \bigcup_{\lambda} \text{CST}(\lambda) \times \text{LRT}(\lambda; (R_2, R_1)) \end{array}$$

In other words, for all $b \in B^{R_2} \otimes B^{R_1}$,

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\sigma(b)) &= \mathbb{P}(b) \\ \mathbb{Q}(\sigma(b)) &= \tau(\mathbb{Q}(b)). \end{aligned}$$

Now let $R = (R_1, \dots, R_m)$ and $B = B^R$ the tensor product of rectangular crystals. Let $w \in S_m$ be a permutation in the symmetric group on m letters. Write $wB = B^{wR}$ where wR is the sequence of rectangles $wR = R_{w^{-1}(m)} \otimes \dots \otimes R_{w^{-1}(1)}$.

Write σ_{R_i, R_j} for the action of the above sl_n crystal isomorphism at consecutive tensor positions in $wB = \dots \otimes B^{R_i} \otimes B^{R_j} \otimes \dots$. Then the isomorphisms σ_{R_i, R_j} satisfy a Yang-Baxter identity

$$\begin{aligned} &(\sigma_{B_j, B_k} \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \sigma_{B_i, B_k}) \circ (\sigma_{B_i, B_j} \otimes \text{id}) \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes \sigma_{B_i, B_j}) \circ (\sigma_{B_i, B_k} \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \sigma_{B_j, B_k}) \end{aligned}$$

This is a consequence of the corresponding difficult identity for bijections τ_{R_i, R_j} on recording tableaux, defined and conjectured in [13] and proven in [24, Theorem 9 (A5)]. By composing maps of the form σ_{R_i, R_j} , it is possible to well-define sl_n crystal isomorphisms

$$(3.7) \quad \sigma_{R, wR} : B \rightarrow wB$$

such that $\sigma_{R, vwR} = \sigma_{wR, vwR} \circ \sigma_{R, wR}$ for $v, w \in S_m$. These bijections satisfy

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\sigma_{R, wR}(b)) &= \mathbb{P}(b) \\ \mathbb{Q}(\sigma_{R, wR}(b)) &= \tau_{R, wR}(\mathbb{Q}(b)) \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau_{R,wR} : \text{LRT}(R) \cong \text{LRT}(wR)$ is the shape-preserving bijection defined in [24].

Remark 5. Suppose $B = B^R$ is a Kostka crystal, $b \in B$, and $b = b_n \otimes \cdots \otimes b_1$ with $R_j = (\gamma_j)$ and b_j a weakly increasing word of length γ_j for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Fix $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and write $b' = \tau_{R_{i+1}, R_i}(b) = b'_n \otimes \cdots \otimes b'_1$. In this case τ_{R_{i+1}, R_i} is an automorphism of conjugation acting in multiplicity space. By definition $b'_j = b_j$ for $j \notin [i, i+1]$ and $P(b'_{i+1}b'_i) = P(b_{i+1}b_i)$. It follows that b' can be computed from b by a jeu-de-taquin on the two row skew tableau with word $b_{i+1}b_i$.

3.7. $\widehat{sl}_n$ crystal structure on rectangular crystals. Suppose the sequence R consists of a single rectangular partition with k rows and l columns, so that $B^R = B^{k,l}$. In [7] the existence of a unique classical $\widehat{sl}_n$ crystal structure on $B^{k,l}$ was proved. The sl_n crystal structure has already been described in Section 3.3. Using the properties of the perfect crystal $B^{k,l}$ given in [7], an explicit tableau construction for $\tilde{e}_0$ is presented.

The Dynkin diagram of $\widehat{sl}_n$ admits the rotation automorphism that sends i to $i+1$ modulo n . It follows that there is a bijection $\psi : B^{k,l} \rightarrow B^{k,l}$ such that the following diagram commutes for all $i \in I$:

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B^{k,l} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & B^{k,l} \\ \tilde{f}_i \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{f}_{i+1} \\ B^{k,l} & \xrightarrow[\psi]{} & B^{k,l} \end{array}$$

where subscripts are taken modulo n . Of course it is equivalent to require that ψ satisfy the diagram with $\tilde{e}_i$ replacing $\tilde{f}_i$.

Lemma 6. ψ is unique and rotates content in the sense that for all $b \in B^{k,l}$

$$(3.10) \quad m_{i+1}(\psi(b)) = m_i(b)$$

for all $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ where m_0 is equal to m_n by convention.

Proof. Let b be the sl_n -highest weight vector in $B^{k,l}$, given explicitly by $\text{Key}((l^k))$. By the definition of ψ and the connectedness of $B^{k,l}$ it is enough to show that $\psi(b)$ is uniquely determined. By definition $\epsilon_i(b) = 0$ for all $i \in J$. Recall from [7] that for all $b' \in B^{k,l}$, $\sum_{i \in I} \epsilon_i(b') \geq l$, that b' is said to be minimal if equality holds, and that for any sequence of numbers $(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ that sum to l , there is a unique minimal vector b' such that $\epsilon_i(b') = a_i$ for all $i \in I$.

These facts imply that $\epsilon_0(b) = l$. From the definition of ψ it follows that $\epsilon_i(\psi(b)) = l\delta_{i1}$. Thus $\psi(b)$ is minimal and hence uniquely defined. $\square$

Next it is shown that ψ is uniquely defined by a weaker condition than (3.9).

Lemma 7. ψ is uniquely defined by (3.9) for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ and (3.10).

Proof. Let $b \in B^{k,l}$, $\widehat{b} = b|_{[2, n-1]}$ the restriction of b to the subinterval $[2, n-1]$, $b' = \psi(b)$, and $\widehat{b}' = b'|_{[3, n]}$. By abuse of notation we shall occasionally identify a (skew) tableau with its row-reading word.

If u is a word or tableau and p is an integer, denote by $u+p$ the word or tableau whose entries are obtained from those of u by adding p . The first goal is to show that $\widehat{b}$ and $\widehat{b}' - 1$ are Knuth-equivalent, that is, $P(\widehat{b}) = P(\widehat{b}' - 1)$. By the assumption

on ψ , $\widehat{b}$ admits a sequence of lowering operators $e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_p}$ for $2 \leq i_j \leq n-2$, if and only if $\widehat{b}' - 1$ admits the sequence $e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_p}$. Since $\widehat{b}$ and $\widehat{b}' - 1$ are words in the alphabet $[2, n-1]$ this proves that $P(\widehat{b}) = P(\widehat{b}' - 1)$, by Robinson's characterization of the RSK map (see section 3.5).

Now the shape of the tableau b' is a rectangle, so its restriction $\widehat{b}' = b'_{[3,n]}$ to a final subinterval of $[n]$, has antinormal shape. Hence $\widehat{b}' - 1$ (and hence $\widehat{b}'$) is uniquely determined by $\widehat{b}$.

It only remains to show that the subtableau $b'_{[1,2]}$ is uniquely specified. Its shape must be the partition shape given by the complement in the rectangle (l^k) with the shape of $b'_{[3,n]}$. Now $b'_{[1,2]}$ is a column-strict tableau of partition shape and contains only ones and twos, so it has at most two rows and is therefore uniquely determined by its content. But its content is specified by (3.10). $\square$

The map ψ is explicitly constructed by exhibiting a map that satisfies the conditions in Lemma 7.

The following operation is Schützenberger's promotion operator, which was defined on standard tableaux but has an obvious extension to column-strict tableaux [3] [29]. Let D be a skew shape and $b \in \text{CST}(D)$. The promotion operator applied to b is computed by the following algorithm.

1. Remove all the letters n in b , which removes from D a horizontal strip H (skew shape such that each column contains at most one cell).
2. Slide (using Schützenberger's jeu-de-taquin [3] [28]) the remaining subtableau $b|_{[n-1]}$ to the southeast into the horizontal strip H , entering the cells of H from left to right.
3. Fill in the vacated cells with zeros.
4. Add one to each entry.

The resulting tableau is denoted $\text{pr}(b) \in \text{CST}(D)$ and is called the promotion of the tableau b .

Proposition 8. *The map ψ of (3.9) is given by pr .*

Proof. pr is content-rotating (satisfies (3.10)) and satisfies (3.9) for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$, since $\text{pr}(t)|_{[2,n]} = P_{\setminus}(t|_{[n-1]}) + 1$ and $P_{\setminus}$ commutes with sl_n crystal operators. By Lemma 7, $\text{pr} = \psi$. $\square$

In light of (3.9), the operators $\widetilde{e}_0$ and $\widetilde{f}_0$ on $B^{k,l}$ are given explicitly by

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \widetilde{e}_0 &= \text{pr}^{-1} \circ \widetilde{e}_1 \circ \text{pr} \\ \widetilde{f}_0 &= \text{pr}^{-1} \circ \widetilde{f}_1 \circ \text{pr}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 9. Consider again the map pr on $b \in B^{k,l}$. The tableau $\widehat{b} = b|_{[n-1]}$ has partition shape $\lambda := (l^{k-1}, l-p)$, where $p = m_n(b)$. Its row-reading word has Schensted tableau pair $\mathbb{P}(\widehat{b}) = \widehat{b}$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\widehat{b}) = \text{Key}(\lambda)$. Let

$$\widehat{b}' := \text{pr}(b)|_{[2,n]} = P_{\setminus}(b|_{[n-1]}),$$

which has antinormal shape and whose complementary shape inside the rectangle (l^k) must be a single row of length p , that is, $\text{shape}(\widehat{b}') = (l^k)/(p)$. So $\mathbb{P}(\widehat{b}') = \mathbb{P}(\widehat{b})$, and $\mathbb{Q}(\widehat{b}')$ is a column-strict tableau of shape λ and content $(l-p, l^{k-1})$, that is,

$\mathbb{Q}(\widehat{b'}) = \text{Key}(w_0\lambda)$ where w_0 is the longest element of the symmetric group S_k . So $\mathbb{P}(\widehat{b'}) = \mathbb{P}(\widehat{b})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\widehat{b'}) = w_0\mathbb{Q}(\widehat{b})$.

Let D be the skew shape $R_m \otimes \cdots \otimes R_1$, $B = B^R$ be the tensor product of rectangular crystals, $b = b_m \otimes \cdots \otimes b_1 \in B$. Note that the operator pr on $\text{CST}(D) = B$ may be described by

$$\text{pr}(b) = \text{pr}(b_m) \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{pr}(b_1)$$

By the definition of $\widetilde{e}_0$ on a rectangular crystal and the signature rule, it follows that

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \widetilde{e}_0 &= \text{pr}^{-1} \circ \widetilde{e}_1 \circ \text{pr} \\ \widetilde{f}_0 &= \text{pr}^{-1} \circ \widetilde{f}_1 \circ \text{pr} \end{aligned}$$

as operators on $B = B^R$.

Example 10. Let $n = 7$, $R = ((2, 2), (3, 3, 3), (3, 3))$, and $b \in B^R$ given by the following skew tableau of shape $R_3 \otimes R_2 \otimes R_1$:

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & 1 & 1 \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & 2 & 2 \\ \times & \times & \times & 1 & 1 & 3 & & \\ \times & \times & \times & 2 & 3 & 4 & & \\ \times & \times & \times & 3 & 4 & 5 & & \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & & & & & \\ 3 & 5 & 7 & & & & & \end{array}$$

The element $\text{pr}(b)$ is given by

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & 2 & 2 \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & 3 & 3 \\ \times & \times & \times & 2 & 2 & 4 & & \\ \times & \times & \times & 3 & 4 & 5 & & \\ \times & \times & \times & 4 & 5 & 6 & & \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & & & & & \\ 4 & 6 & 7 & & & & & \end{array}$$

The signature for calculating $\widetilde{e}_1$ on $\text{pr}(b)$ is

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & \\ - & + & + & + & + & \end{array}$$

So $\widetilde{e}_1$ must be applied to the second tensor position. Then $\widetilde{e}_1(\text{pr}(b))$ equals

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & 2 & 2 \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & 3 & 3 \\ \times & \times & \times & 1 & 2 & 4 & & \\ \times & \times & \times & 3 & 4 & 5 & & \\ \times & \times & \times & 4 & 5 & 6 & & \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & & & & & \\ 4 & 6 & 7 & & & & & \end{array}$$

Finally $\tilde{e}_0(b) = \text{pr}^{-1}(\tilde{e}_1(\text{pr}(b)))$ is given by:

$\times$	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$	1	1
$\times$	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$	2	2
$\times$	$\times$	$\times$	1	3	3		
$\times$	$\times$	$\times$	2	4	4		
$\times$	$\times$	$\times$	3	5	7		
2	4	6					
3	5	7					

3.8. Action of $\tilde{e}_0$ on the tableau pair. In this section an algorithm is given to compute the tableau pair $(\mathbb{P}(\tilde{e}_0(b)), \mathbb{Q}(\tilde{e}_0(b)))$ of $\tilde{e}_0(b)$ directly in terms of the tableau pair $(\mathbb{P}(b), \mathbb{Q}(b))$ of b . In light of (3.12) and (3.2) with $g = \tilde{e}_1$, it is enough to give $\mathbb{P}(\text{pr}(b))$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\text{pr}(b))$ in terms of $\mathbb{P}(b)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(b)$.

$\text{pr}(b) = \text{pr}(b_m) \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{pr}(b_1)$ can be constructed by applying Remark 9 to each tensor factor. The element b is regarded as a skew tableau of shape $R_m \otimes \cdots \otimes R_1$. Let $\hat{b} = b|_{[n-1]}$ and write $\hat{b} = \cdots \otimes \hat{b}_j \otimes \cdots$, so that $\hat{b}_j = b_j|_{[n-1]}$. Let $D_j = (\mu_j^{-1+\eta_j}, \mu_j - m_n(b_j))$ denote the shape of $\hat{b}_j$, so that $\hat{b}$ has shape $D_m \otimes \cdots \otimes D_1$.

Next, let $\hat{b}'_j$ be the tableau of skew shape $D'_j = (\mu_j^{\eta_j})/(m_n(b_j))$ obtained from $\hat{b}_j$ as in Remark 9. Write $\hat{b}' = \hat{b}'_m \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{b}'_1$, a skew column-strict tableau of shape $D' = D'_m \otimes \cdots \otimes D'_1$. Finally, $\text{pr}(b)$ is obtained by adjoining zeros to $\hat{b}'$ at the vacated positions of the shape $R_m \otimes \cdots \otimes R_1$ that are not in D' , and then adding one to each entry.

Since $\text{word}(\hat{b}) = \text{word}(b)|_{[n-1]}$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\hat{b}) = P(\text{word}(\hat{b})) = P(\text{word}(b)|_{[n-1]}) = P(\text{word}(b))|_{[n-1]} = \mathbb{P}(b)|_{[n-1]}.$$

In other words, $\mathbb{P}(\hat{b})$ is obtained from $\mathbb{P}(b)$ by removing the letters n , which occupy a horizontal strip (call it H). It is well-known that $\mathbb{Q}(\hat{b})$ is obtained from $\mathbb{Q}(b)$ by reverse column insertions at the cells of H starting with the rightmost cell of H and proceeding to the left, ejecting a weakly increasing word v of length $m_n(b)$. Another way to say this is that there is a unique weakly increasing word v of length $m_n(b)$ such that $P(v\mathbb{Q}(\hat{b})) = \mathbb{Q}(b)$. So the content of v is the difference of the contents of $\mathbb{Q}(b)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\hat{b})$. Since $\mathbb{Q}(b) \in \text{LRT}(R)$, it follows that v is the weakly increasing word comprised of $m_n(b_j)$ copies of the maximum letter of A_j for all j .

In light of Remarks 5 and 9,

$$\mathbb{Q}(1 + \hat{b}') = \mathbb{Q}(\hat{b}') = w_0^R \mathbb{Q}(\hat{b}),$$

where w_0^R is the automorphism of conjugation corresponding to the longest element in the Young subgroup $S_{A_1} \times \cdots \times S_{A_m}$ in the symmetric group S_n . Recall that $1 + \hat{b}' = \text{pr}(b)|_{[2,n]}$. Let H_1 be the skew shape given by the difference of the shapes of $\mathbb{P}(\text{pr}(b))$ and $\mathbb{P}(\text{pr}(b)|_{[2,n]})$. It is well-known that $\mathbb{Q}(\text{pr}(b)|_{[2,n]})$ is obtained from $\mathbb{Q}(\text{pr}(b))$ by reverse row insertions at the cells of H_1 starting from the rightmost and proceeding to the left. Let u be the ejected word. Then using an argument similar to that above, $P(\mathbb{Q}(\text{pr}(b)|_{[2,n]})u) = \mathbb{Q}(\text{pr}(b))$ and u is the weakly increasing word comprised of $m_n(b_j)$ copies of the minimal letter of A_j for all j . Since u and v are both weakly increasing words it is easy to calculate directly that $u = w_0^R v$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Q}(b) &= P(v\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}(\widehat{b})) \\ \mathbb{Q}(\text{pr}(b)) &= P(\mathbb{Q}(1 + \widehat{b}')u) \\ &= P((w_0^R \mathbb{Q}(\widehat{b}))(w_0^R v)).\end{aligned}$$

Remark 11. In summary, the tableau pair $(\mathbb{P}(\text{pr}(b)), \mathbb{Q}(\text{pr}(b)))$ is constructed from the tableau pair $(\mathbb{P}(b), \mathbb{Q}(b))$ by the following steps. Let $P = \mathbb{P}(b)$ and $Q = \mathbb{Q}(b)$.

1. Let H be the horizontal strip given by the positions of the letters n in P .
2. Let v be the weakly increasing word and $\widehat{Q}$ the tableau such that $\text{shape}(\widehat{Q}) = \text{shape}(Q) - H$, such that $Q = P(v\widehat{Q})$. These may be produced by reverse column insertions on Q at H from right to left.
3. Then $\mathbb{Q}(\text{pr}(b)) = P((w_0^R \widehat{Q})(w_0^R v))$.
4. Let H_1 be the horizontal strip $\text{shape}(\mathbb{Q}(\text{pr}(b))) - \text{shape}(\widehat{Q})$.
5. Let P_1 be the column-strict tableau given by adjoining to $P|_{[n-1]}$ the letters n at the cells of H_1 .
6. $\mathbb{P}(\text{pr}(b)) = \text{pr}(P_1)$.

By [24, Proposition 15],

$$(3.13) \quad (w_0^R \widehat{Q})(w_0^R v) = \chi_R^{-m_n(b)}(v\widehat{Q})$$

where χ_R is the LR analogue of the right circular shift of a word by positions defined in [24].

All of these steps are invertible, so a description of pr^{-1} is obtained as well.

Example 12. Continuing the previous example, the image of b under the map (3.3) is given by the tableau pair $P = \mathbb{P}(b)$ and $Q = \mathbb{Q}(b)$:

$$P = \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & \\ 5 & 5 & & \\ 6 & & & \\ 7 & & & \end{array} \quad Q = \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 & \\ 5 & 7 & & \\ 6 & & & \\ 7 & & & \end{array}.$$

Then H is the skew shape given by the single cell $(7, 1)$, $v = 7$, $w_0^R v = 6$,

$$\widehat{Q} = \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 & \\ 5 & 7 & & \\ 6 & & & \end{array} \quad w_0^R \widehat{Q} = \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 & \\ 5 & 7 & & \\ 7 & & & \end{array},$$

and

$$\mathbb{Q}(\text{pr}(b)) = \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 6 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 & 6 & \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 & \\ 4 & 5 & 6 & & \\ 5 & 7 & & & \\ 7 & & & & \end{array}.$$

So H_1 consists of the single cell $(1, 5)$ and

$$P_1 = \begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 7 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & & \\ 5 & 5 & & & \\ 6 & & & & \end{array} \quad \mathbb{P}(\text{pr}(b)) = \text{pr}(P_1) = \begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & \\ 5 & 5 & 5 & & \\ 6 & 6 & & & \\ 7 & & & & \end{array}.$$

3.9. The R -cocyclage and $\tilde{e}_0$. In [24] the R -cocyclage relation was defined on the set $\text{LRT}(R)$. In the Kostka case this is a weak version of the dual of the cyclage poset of Lascoux and Schützenberger [18]. It is shown that every covering R -cocyclage relation, realized as recording tableaux, is induced by $\tilde{e}_0$ on some element of B^R .

Theorem 13. *Let ux be an R -LR word with x a letter. Then there is an element $b \in B^R$ such that $\mathbb{Q}(b) = P(ux)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\tilde{e}_0(b)) = P(\chi_R(ux))$, provided that the cell $s = \text{shape}(P(ux))/\text{shape}(P(u))$ is not in the n -th row. In particular, every R -cocyclage covering relation is realized by the action of $\tilde{e}_0$ in this way.*

Proof. By definition (see [24]), every covering relation in the R -cocyclage has the form that $P(v)$ covers $P(\chi_R(v))$ where v is an R -LR word. It follows from [24, Proposition 23] that if $P(ux) \rightarrow P(\chi_R(ux))$ is an R -cocyclage then $x > 1$. If s is in the n -th row, then by the column-strictness of $P(ux)$ and the fact that all letters are in the set $[n]$, $x = 1$. So no R -cocyclage covering relations are excluded by the restriction that s not be in the n -th row.

Let $x'u' = \chi_R(ux)$, that is, $x' = w_0^R x$ and $u' = w_0^R u$. Since $P(u') = P(w_0^R u) = w_0^R P(u)$ and the automorphism of conjugation w_0^R preserves shape, without loss of generality it may be assumed that u is the row-reading word of a column-strict tableau U of partition shape $\hat{\lambda} = \lambda - s$ where $\lambda = \text{shape}(\mathbb{P}(ux))$. Now a skew tableau t has partition shape if and only if $\mathbb{Q}(t) = \text{Key}(\nu)$, so u' is the word of the column-strict tableau $U' = w_0^R U$.

Let $Q = P(Ux)$, $\lambda = \text{shape}(Q)$, $s = (t, \lambda_t) = \lambda - \hat{\lambda}$, and $w = r_1 r_2 \dots r_{t-1}$. Define $b \in B^R$ by $\mathbb{P}(b) = \text{Key}(w\lambda)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(b) = Q$; such a b exists since $Q \in \text{LRT}(\lambda; R)$ and (3.3) is a bijection. It must be shown that $\mathbb{Q}(\tilde{e}_0(b)) = P(x'U')$. This shall be verified by applying the formula (3.12) and Remark 11.

Now $\lambda_t > \lambda_n$ since $s = (t, \lambda_t)$ is a corner cell and $t < n$. The horizontal strip H given by the cells of $\text{Key}(w\lambda)$ containing the letter n , is merely the n -th row of the shape λ . Since $Q \in \text{CST}(\lambda)$ (and all tableaux are in the alphabet $[n]$), the subtableau given by the first λ_n columns of Q is equal to $\text{Key}((\lambda_n^n))$. Let Q_r be the rest of Q and R' the sequence of rectangles obtained by removing the first λ_n columns from each of the rectangles in R . Since Q is R -LR and the column-reading word of Q equals that of $\text{Key}((\lambda_n^n)Q_r)$, it follows that Q_r is R' -LR. Let y be the minimal element of the last interval A_m . In the notation of Remark 11, since Q_r is R' -LR, it follows that $v = n^{\lambda_n}$, $\hat{Q} = \text{Key}((\lambda_n^{n-1}, 0))Q_r$, $w_0^R v = y^{\lambda_n}$, and $w_0^R \hat{Q} = \text{Key}((\lambda_n^{n-\eta_m}, 0, \lambda_n^{-1+\eta_m}))Q_r$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Q}(\text{pr}(b)) &= P((w_0^R \hat{Q})(w_0^R v)) \\ (3.14) \quad &= \text{Key}((\lambda_n^{n-\eta_m}, 0, \lambda_n^{-1+\eta_m}))Q_r y^{\lambda_n}. \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side is a column-strict tableau of shape $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_n, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, 0)$, so that the horizontal strip $H_1 = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_n)/(\lambda_1)$ is entirely in the first row,

and $\mathbb{P}(\text{pr}(b))$ is formed from $1 + \text{Key}(w(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, 0))$ by pushing the first row to the right by λ_n cells and placing 1's in the vacated positions. The tableau $\text{Key}(w(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, 0))$ contains λ_t ones. Hence $\mathbb{P}(\text{pr}(b))$ contains λ_t twos in its first row, that is, $\epsilon_1(\mathbb{P}(\text{pr}(b))) = \lambda_t > 0$.

So $\mathbb{P}(\text{pr}(b))$ admits $\tilde{e}_0$, which changes the letter 2 at the cell $(1, m_n(b) + 1)$ to a 1. By (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\tilde{e}_1(\text{pr}(b))) &= \tilde{e}_1(\mathbb{P}(\text{pr}(b))) \\ \mathbb{Q}(\tilde{e}_1(\text{pr}(b))) &= \mathbb{Q}(\text{pr}(b)).\end{aligned}$$

Now pr^{-1} is applied, reversing the algorithm in Remark 11 starting with the tableau pair $\mathbb{P}(\tilde{e}_1(\text{pr}(b)))$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\tilde{e}_1(\text{pr}(b)))$, writing H'_1 and H' for the analogues of H_1 and H . By Remark 11 and direct calculation,

$$\begin{aligned}(3.15) \quad 1 + \mathbb{P}(\text{pr}^{-1}(\tilde{e}_1(\text{pr}(b))))|_{[n-1]} &= P(\mathbb{P}(\tilde{e}_1(\text{pr}(b))))|_{[2,n]} \\ &= 1 + \text{Key}(w((\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, 0) - \{s\})).\end{aligned}$$

In particular $H'_1 = H_1 \cup \{s\}$. The reverse row insertions on $\mathbb{Q}(\tilde{e}_1(\text{pr}(b))) = \mathbb{Q}(\text{pr}(b))$ at $H_1 \subset H'_1$ merely remove the λ_n copies of y from the first row by (3.14). The final reverse row insertion (at the cell s) stays within the subtableau Q_r and changes it to the tableau Q_r'' say, and ejects the letter x , since x is obtained from Q by reverse row insertion at s and $Q = \text{Key}((\lambda_n^n)Q_r)$. The result of the reverse row insertion on $\mathbb{Q}(\tilde{e}_1(\text{pr}(b)))$ at H'_1 is

$$Q_1 = \text{Key}((\lambda_n^{n-\eta_m}, 0, \lambda_n^{-1+\eta_m}))Q_r'',$$

with ejected word xy^{λ_n} . Writing $U' = \text{Key}((\lambda_n^n)Q_r')$ and using the fact that Q_r is R' -LR,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Q}(\tilde{e}_0(b)) &= \mathbb{Q}(\text{pr}^{-1}(\tilde{e}_1(\text{pr}(b)))) \\ &= P((w_0^R xy^{\lambda_n})(w_0^R \text{Key}((\lambda_n^{n-\eta_m}, 0, \lambda_n^{-1+\eta_m}))Q_r'')) \\ &= P(x'n^{\lambda_n} \text{Key}((\lambda_n^{n-1}, 0))Q_r') \\ &= P(x' \text{Key}((\lambda_n^n)Q_r')) \\ &= P(x'U').\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 14. Suppose B^R is a Kostka crystal with $R_j = (\gamma_j)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then Theorem 13 shows that every covering relation in the cyclage poset $\bigcup_{\lambda} \text{CST}(\lambda; \gamma)$ is realized in the recording tableaux by $\tilde{e}_0$ acting on some $b \in B^R$.

Remark 15. Let $M = \max_i \mu_i$, the maximum number of columns among the rectangles R_i in R . Suppose $b \in B^R$ is an sl_n -highest weight vector such that $\mathbb{Q}(b)$ has shape λ , such that $\lambda_1 > M$. Then $\mathbb{Q}(b) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\tilde{e}_0(b))$ is a covering relation in the R -cocyclage.

To see this, let us adopt the notation of the proof of Theorem 13. Let $s = (t, \lambda_t)$ be the corner cell in the last column of λ . Then $\lambda_t = \lambda_1$ so that $w\lambda = \lambda$. By the choice of b , $\mathbb{P}(b) = \text{Key}(\lambda) = \text{Key}(w\lambda)$.

To apply Theorem 13 it must be shown that $t < n$. Suppose not. Then $\lambda = (\lambda_1^n)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(b)$ is an R -LR tableau of shape (λ_1^n) . Since the total of the heights of the rectangles in R is n , it follows that all of the rectangles in R must have exactly λ_1 columns, contradicting the assumption that $\lambda_1 > M$.

Apply the reverse row insertion on $\mathbb{Q}(b)$ at the cell s , obtaining the column-strict tableau U of shape $\lambda - \{s\}$ and ejecting the letter x . Then $P(Ux) \rightarrow P(\chi_R(Ux))$ is an R -cocyclage, by [24, Remark 17].

Example 16. Continuing the example, $\tilde{e}_0(b)$ is computed below. $\mathbb{Q}(\tilde{e}_1(\text{pr}(b))) = \mathbb{Q}(\text{pr}(b))$ and

$$\mathbb{P}(\tilde{e}_1(\text{pr}(b))) = \begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & \\ 5 & 5 & 5 & & \\ 6 & 6 & & & \\ 7 & & & & \end{array}.$$

Applying pr^{-1} to the tableau pair of $\tilde{e}_1(\text{pr}(b))$ and denoting by P'_1 , $\hat{Q}'$, v' the corresponding tableaux and word, we obtain

$$P'_1 = \begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 7 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 7 & \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & & \\ 5 & 5 & & & \\ 6 & & & & \end{array} \quad w_0^R \hat{Q}' = \begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 & 7 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & \\ 4 & 5 & 6 & \\ 5 & 7 & & \\ 7 & & & \end{array},$$

$$w_0^R v' = 36, v' = 57,$$

$$\hat{Q}' = \begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 & 7 \\ 3 & 3 & 5 & \\ 4 & 4 & 6 & \\ 5 & 7 & & \\ 6 & & & \end{array} \quad \mathbb{Q}(\tilde{e}_0(b)) = \begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 & 7 \\ 3 & 3 & 5 & \\ 4 & 4 & 6 & \\ 5 & 5 & 7 & \\ 6 & & & \\ 7 & & & \end{array},$$

and finally

$$\mathbb{P}(\tilde{e}_0(b)) = \begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & \\ 5 & 5 & 7 & \\ 6 & & & \\ 7 & & & \end{array}$$

Remark 17. Suppose that $R = (R_1, \dots, R_m)$ is such that each R_j has a common number of columns, say l . Then B^R , being the tensor product of perfect crystals $B^{\eta_j, l}$ of level l , is perfect of level l and therefore connected by [6]. In this case, more is true. Using Remark 15, every element can be connected to the unique sl_n -highest weight vector in B^R of charge zero, by applying last column R -cocyclages on the recording tableau.

For R a general sequence of rectangles, B^R is still connected. However it is not necessarily possible to use $\tilde{e}_0$ to connect every sl_n -component to the zero energy component in such a way that the energy always drops by one. For example,

take $n = 3$, $R = ((2), (1), (1))$ and the sl_3 -component with $\mathbb{Q}$ -tableau $\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{smallmatrix}$. The applications of $\tilde{e}_0$ on the five elements of this component that admit $\tilde{e}_0$, all produce elements in the component with $\mathbb{Q}$ -tableau $\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 \end{smallmatrix}$ which has the same energy.

3.10. Rectangle-switching bijections and $\tilde{e}_0$.

Proposition 18. *Let R_1 and R_2 be rectangles. Then the rectangle-switching bijection*

$$\sigma_{R_2, R_1} : B^{R_2} \otimes B^{R_1} \rightarrow B^{R_1} \otimes B^{R_2}$$

is an isomorphism of classical $\widehat{sl}_n$ crystals.

Proof. Since $\sigma = \sigma_{R_2, R_1}$ is known to be an isomorphism of sl_n -crystals, it only remains to show that σ commutes with $\tilde{e}_0$. Let $b \in B^{R_2} \otimes B^{R_1}$. By the bijectivity of (3.3) it is enough to show that

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{e}_0(\sigma(b))) &= \mathbb{P}(\sigma(\tilde{e}_0(b))) \\ \mathbb{Q}(\tilde{e}_0(\sigma(b))) &= \mathbb{Q}(\sigma(\tilde{e}_0(b))). \end{aligned}$$

Consider first the process in passing from $(\mathbb{P}(b), \mathbb{Q}(b))$ to $(\mathbb{P}(\tilde{e}_0(b)), \mathbb{Q}(\tilde{e}_0(b)))$. Let H and H_1 be the horizontal strips, v the weakly increasing word and $\widehat{Q}$ the tableau as in Remark 11. Let $b' = \sigma(b)$, and let H' , H'_1 , v' , $\widehat{Q}'$ the analogous objects in passing from $(\mathbb{P}(b'), \mathbb{Q}(b'))$ to $(\mathbb{P}(\tilde{e}_0(b')), \mathbb{Q}(\tilde{e}_0(b')))$.

Let $\lambda = \text{shape}(\mathbb{P}(b))$. Observe that $\mathbb{P}(b') = \mathbb{P}(\sigma(b)) = \mathbb{P}(b)$ by (3.6), so that $\text{shape}(\mathbb{P}(b')) = \lambda$ and $H' = H$. This implies that the increasing words v' and v have the same length $m_n(b)$ and $\text{shape}(\widehat{Q}') = \text{shape}(\widehat{Q})$; call their common shape $\widehat{\lambda}$. Now $P(v'\widehat{Q}') = \mathbb{Q}(b')$ is the unique element in $\text{LRT}(\lambda; (R_2, R_1))$ and $P(v\widehat{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}(b)$ is the unique element in $\text{LRT}(\lambda; (R_1, R_2))$. So

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} P(\tau(v\widehat{Q})) &= \tau P(v\widehat{Q}) \\ &= \tau \mathbb{Q}(b) = \mathbb{Q}(b') = P(v'\widehat{Q}'). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, consider the word $v\widehat{Q}$, identifying $\widehat{Q}$ with its row-reading word. The tableau $Q(v\widehat{Q})$ has shape λ . Let p and q be the number of cells in $\widehat{Q}$ and Q respectively. Since $\widehat{Q}$ is a column-strict tableau of shape $\widehat{\lambda}$, $Q(v\widehat{Q})|_{[p]}$ is the rowwise standard tableau of shape $\widehat{\lambda}$, the unique standard tableau of shape $\widehat{\lambda}$ in which $i+1$ is located immediately to the right of i provided $i+1$ is not in the first column. Now $Q(v\widehat{Q})|_H$ is filled from left to right by the numbers $p+1$ through q , since it records the column-insertion of the weakly increasing word v . The same argument applies to $Q(v'\widehat{Q}')$, so that $Q(v\widehat{Q}) = Q(v'\widehat{Q}')$. By this, (3.17), and (3.5), it follows that $\tau(v\widehat{Q}) = v'\widehat{Q}'$. Write $R' = (R_2, R_1)$, $w_0^{R'}$ and $\chi_{R'}$ for the corresponding constructions for R' . By [24, Theorem 16],

$$\begin{aligned} (w_0^{R'} \widehat{Q}')(w_0^{R'} v') &= \chi_{R'}^{-m_n(b)}(v'\widehat{Q}') \\ &= \chi_{R'}^{-m_n(b)}(\tau(v\widehat{Q})) \\ &= \tau(\chi_R^{-m_n(b)}(v\widehat{Q})) \\ &= \tau((w_0^R \widehat{Q})(w_0^R v)). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the P tableau part of (3.5) to the word $(w_0^R \widehat{Q})(w_0^R v)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\mathbb{Q}(\tilde{e}_0(b))) &= \tau(P((w_0^R \widehat{Q})(w_0^R v))) \\ &= P(\tau((w_0^R \widehat{Q})(w_0^R v))) \\ &= P((w_0^{R'} \widehat{Q}')(w_0^{R'} v')) \\ &= \mathbb{Q}(\tilde{e}_0(b')). \end{aligned}$$

By this and the $\mathbb{Q}$ tableau part of (3.6) for the word $\tilde{e}_0(b)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Q}(\sigma(\tilde{e}_0(b))) &= \tau(\mathbb{Q}(\tilde{e}_0(b))) \\ &= \mathbb{Q}(\tilde{e}_0(b')) \\ &= \mathbb{Q}(\tilde{e}_0(\sigma(b))) \end{aligned}$$

This proves the equality of the $\mathbb{Q}$ -tableaux in (3.16).

For the $\mathbb{P}$ -tableaux, let us recall the process that leads from $(\mathbb{P}(b), \mathbb{Q}(b))$ to $(\mathbb{P}(\tilde{e}_0(b)), \mathbb{Q}(\tilde{e}_0(b)))$ and from $(\mathbb{P}(b'), \mathbb{Q}(b'))$ to $(\mathbb{P}(\tilde{e}_0(b')), \mathbb{Q}(\tilde{e}_0(b')))$ where $b' = \sigma(b)$. Recall that $\mathbb{P}(b') = \mathbb{P}(b)$. Clearly they have the same restriction to the alphabet $[n-1]$. On the other hand, since it has been shown that the tableaux $\mathbb{Q}(\tilde{e}_0(b))$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\tilde{e}_0(b'))$ have the same shape, it follows that the horizontal strips H_1 and H'_1 coincide. So $\mathbb{P}(\tilde{e}_0(b))$ and $\mathbb{P}(\tilde{e}_0(b'))$ coincide when restricted to the alphabet $[2, n]$. Since both tableaux also have the same partition shape they must coincide. This, together with the $\mathbb{P}$ -tableau part of (3.6) applied to $\tilde{e}_0(b)$, shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{e}_0(\sigma(b))) &= \mathbb{P}(\tilde{e}_0(b')) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\tilde{e}_0(b)) = \mathbb{P}(\sigma(\tilde{e}_0(b))). \end{aligned}$$

□

3.11. Energy function. In this section it is shown that the energy function of the classical $\widehat{sl}_n$ crystal B^R is given by the generalized charge of [24] on the $\mathbb{Q}$ -tableau. The definition of energy function follows [7] and [22].

Consider the unique classical $\widehat{sl}_n$ crystal isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : B^{R_2} \otimes B^{R_1} &\cong B^{R_1} \otimes B^{R_2} \\ b_2 \otimes b_1 &\mapsto b'_1 \otimes b'_2. \end{aligned}$$

An energy function $H : B^{R_2} \otimes B^{R_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a function that satisfies the following axioms:

- (H1) $H(\tilde{f}_i(b)) = H(b)$ for all $i \in J$ and $b \in B^{R_2} \otimes B^{R_1}$ such that $\tilde{f}_i(b)$ is defined, and similarly for $\tilde{e}_i$.
- (H2) For all $b = b_2 \otimes b_1 \in B^{R_2} \otimes B^{R_1}$ such that $\tilde{e}_0(b)$ is defined,

$$H(\tilde{e}_0(b)) - H(b) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \epsilon_0(b_2) \leq \phi_0(b_1) \text{ and } \epsilon_0(b'_1) \leq \phi_0(b'_2) \\ -1 & \text{if } \epsilon_0(b_2) > \phi_0(b_1) \text{ and } \epsilon_0(b'_1) > \phi_0(b'_2) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $H' : B^{R_1} \otimes B^{R_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is defined in the same way then $H' \circ \sigma = H$.

Lemma 19. $B^{R_2} \otimes B^{R_1}$ is connected.

Proof. Without loss of generality assume that $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2$. Let

$$\gamma(R) = (\mu_1^{\eta_1}, \mu_2^{\eta_2})$$

be the partition whose Ferrers diagram is obtained by placing the shape R_1 atop R_2 . Let Q_R be the unique element of the singleton set $\text{LRT}(\gamma(R); (R_1, R_2))$. Observe that $\gamma(R)$ is the only shape admitting an R -LR tableau that has at most μ_1 columns. Let $v_R \in B^R$ be the unique sl_n -highest weight vector of weight $\gamma(R)$; it is given explicitly by $v_R = Y_2 \otimes Y_1$ in the notation of the definition of R -LR, and satisfies $\mathbb{P}(v_R) = \text{Key}(\gamma(R))$ and $\mathbb{Q}(v_R) = Q_R$.

It is shown that every element $b \in B^R$ is connected to v_R . First, using sl_n -raising operators, it may be assumed that b is an sl_n -highest weight vector. If $\mathbb{Q}(b)$ has at most μ_1 columns then $b = v_R$. Otherwise $\mathbb{Q}(b)$ has more than μ_1 columns, and Remark 15 applies. But $\tilde{e}_0(b)$ is closer to v_R in the sense that $\mathbb{Q}(\tilde{e}_0(b))$ has one fewer cells to the right of the μ_1 -th column than $\mathbb{Q}(b)$ does [24, Proposition 38], so induction finishes the proof. $\square$

By Lemma 19 H is uniquely determined up to a global additive constant. H is normalized by the condition

$$H(v_R) = 0$$

with v_R as in Lemma 19. Equivalently,

$$H(\text{Key}(R_2) \otimes \text{Key}(R_1)) = \min(\eta_1, \eta_2) \min(\mu_1, \mu_2),$$

where $\text{Key}(R_i)$ is the highest-weight vector in B^{R_i} and the value of H is the size of the rectangle $R_1 \cap R_2$.

For a tableau $Q \in \text{LRT}(\lambda; (R_1, R_2))$, define $d_{R_1, R_2}(Q)$ to be the number of cells in the shape of Q that are strictly east of the $\max(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -th column.

Proposition 20. *Let $R = (R_1, R_2)$ be a pair of rectangles. Then for all $b \in B^R$, $H(b) = d_{R_1, R_2}(\mathbb{Q}(b))$.*

Proof. Follows immediately from the proof of Lemma 19. $\square$

Now consider $R = (R_1, \dots, R_m)$ with $B_j = B^{R_j}$ and $B = B^R = B_m \otimes \dots \otimes B_1$. The energy function for B is given as follows. Denote by $H_{i,w} : wB \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by the value of the energy function $H_{(wB)_{i+1}, (wB)_i}$ at the $(i+1)$ -st and i -th tensor positions (according to our convention). Recall the isomorphisms of classical $\widehat{sl}_n$ -crystals (3.7). Define the cyclic permutation $w_{i,j} = r_{i+1}r_{i+2} \dots r_{j-1}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq m$. Define $E_R : B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$E_R(b) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{1 \leq i < j} H_{i, w_{i,j}}(\sigma_{R, w_{i,j}}(b)).$$

Call the inner sum $E_R^{(j)}(b)$.

The following version of [9, Lemma 5.1] holds for E_R with no additional difficulty.

Lemma 21. *Let $B = B^R$ where $R_j = (\mu_j^{\eta_j})$ and $l \geq \mu_j$ for all j . Suppose $\epsilon_0(b) > \mu_j$ for all j . Write $\tilde{e}_0(b) = \dots \otimes b_{k+1} \otimes \tilde{e}_0(b_k) \otimes b_{k-1} \dots$. Then $k > 1$ and $E_R^{(j)}(\tilde{e}_0(b)) = E_R^{(j)}(b) - \delta_{j,k}$.*

Example 22. Let b be as in the running example. Then

$$\tau_2(b) = \begin{array}{cccccc} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & 1 & 1 \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & 2 & 2 \\ \times & \times & \times & 1 & 3 & 3 & & \\ \times & \times & \times & 2 & 4 & 4 & & \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & & & & & \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & & & & & \\ 3 & 5 & 7 & & & & & \end{array}$$

Write $\tau_2(b) = b'_2 \otimes b'_3 \otimes b_1$. Now $P(\text{word}(b_2 \otimes b_1))$ has shape $(4, 3, 3, 2, 1)$ so that $d_1(b) = 1$. $P(\text{word}(b_3 \otimes b_2))$ has shape $(4, 4, 3, 2, 2)$ so that $d_2(b) = 2$. Finally $P(\text{word}(b'_3 \otimes b_1))$ has shape $(3, 3, 2, 2)$ so that $d_1(\tau_2(b)) = 0$. So $E_R(b) = 1+2+0 = 3$.

3.12. Energy and generalized charge. Define the map $E_R : \text{LRT}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by $E_R(Q) = E_R(b)$ for any $b \in B^R$ such that $\mathbb{Q}(b) = Q$. This map is well-defined since E_R is constant on sl_n -components and the map (3.3) is a bijection. It follows immediately from the definitions that

$$E_R(Q) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} d_{R_i, R_j}(\tau_{R_{i+1}, R_j} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{R_{j-1}, R_j}(Q)).$$

The Kostka case of the following result was first proven by K. Kilpatrick and D. White. In the further special case that μ is a partition it was shown in [22] that $E_R(Q)$ is the charge. Now in the Kostka case the generalized charge statistic charge_R specializes to the formula of charge in [15].

Theorem 23. $\text{charge}_R = E_R$ on $\text{LRT}(R)$.

Proof. Let $Q \in \text{LRT}(R)$ of shape λ , say. It will be shown by induction on the number of rectangles m and then on $\text{charge}_R(Q)$, that that $E_R : \text{LRT}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies the intrinsic characterization of charge_R by the properties (C1) through (C4) [24, Theorem 21]. Let $M = \max_j \mu_j$.

First, (C2) need only be checked when $Q' <_R Q$ is a last column R -cocyclage, and (C4) need only be verified when $\lambda_1 = M$. To see this, if $\lambda_1 > M$ then there is a last column R -cocyclage $Q' <_R Q$ and in this case $\text{charge}_R(Q') = \text{charge}_R(Q) - 1$. Otherwise $\lambda_1 = M$. If (C3) does not apply, then one may apply (C4) several times to switch the widest rectangle closer to the beginning of the sequence R and then apply (C3), which decreases the number of rectangles m .

(C1) is trivial. For (C2), let $Q' <_R Q$ be a last-column R -cocyclage with $\text{shape}(Q) = \lambda$ such that $\lambda_1 > M$. Let $P = \text{Key}(\lambda)$ and b such that $\mathbb{P}(b) = \text{Key}(\lambda)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(b) = Q$ as in Theorem 13 and Remark 15. By the proof of Theorem 13 in this case, $\epsilon_0(b) = \epsilon_1(\mathbb{P}(\text{pr}(b))) = \lambda_t = \lambda_1 > M$. By Lemma 21,

$$E_R(\mathbb{Q}(\tilde{e}_0(b))) = E_R(\tilde{e}_0(b)) = E_R(b) - 1 = E_R(\mathbb{Q}(b)) - 1 = E_R(Q) - 1.$$

However, $\mathbb{Q}(\tilde{e}_0(b)) = Q'$ by Remark 15, so $E_R(Q') = E_R(Q) - 1$, and (C2) has been verified.

To check (C3), let $\hat{R} = (R_2, \dots, R_m)$ and $\hat{Q} = Q|_{[\eta_1+1, n]}$. Then Q consists of $\text{Key}(R_1)$ sitting atop $\hat{Q}$ and $\hat{Q} \in \text{LRT}(\hat{R})$. It follows that

$$d_{R_1, R_j}(\tau_{R_2, R_j} \tau_{R_3, R_j} \cdots \tau_{R_{j-1}, R_j} Q) = 0$$

for all $j > 1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} E_R(Q) &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} d_{R_i, R_j}(\tau_{R_{i+1}, R_j} \cdots \tau_{R_{j-1}, R_j} Q) \\ &= \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq m} d_{R_i, R_j}(\tau_{R_{i+1}, R_j} \cdots \tau_{R_{j-1}, R_j} Q) \\ &= E_{\hat{R}}(\hat{Q}), \end{aligned}$$

which verifies (C3).

For (C4), the proof may be reduce to the case $m = 3$. By abuse of notation we suppress the notation for the sequence of rectangles, writing $\tau_p(Q)$ for the operator that acts on the restriction of an LR tableau to the p -th and $(p+1)$ -st alphabets, and similarly for the function d_p . Write $w_{i,j} := \tau_{i+1}\tau_{i+2} \cdots \tau_{j-1}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq m$.

Fix $1 \leq p \leq m-1$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq m$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} d'_{i,j} &:= d_i(w_{i,j}\tau_p Q) \\ d_{i,j} &:= d_i(w_{i,j}Q). \end{aligned}$$

The value $d'_{i,j}$ is computed using a case by case analysis.

1. $i < p+1$. In this case it is clear that $d'_{i,j} = d_{i,j}$.
2. $i = p+1$. Then $w_{p+1,j}\tau_p = \tau_p w_{p+1,j}$ and

$$d'_{p+1,j} = d_{p+1}(\tau_p w_{p+1,j}Q).$$

3. $p = i$ and $p+1 < j$. Then

$$w_{p,j}\tau_p = \tau_{p+1}w_{p+1,j}\tau_p = \tau_{p+1}\tau_p w_{p+1,j}$$

so that

$$d'_{p,j} = d_p(\tau_{p+1}\tau_p w_{p+1,j}Q).$$

4. $p = i$ and $p+1 = j$. Here $w_{i,j}$ is the identity, and

$$d'_{p,p+1} = d_p(\tau_p Q) = d_p(Q) = d_{p,p+1}.$$

5. $i < p$ and $p+1 < j$. Then $w_{i,j}\tau_p = \tau_{p+1}w_{i,j}$ so that

$$d'_{i,j} = d_i(\tau_{p+1}w_{i,j}Q) = d_i(w_{i,j}Q) = d_{i,j}.$$

since the restriction of $w_{i,j}Q$ to the i -th and $i+1$ -st subalphabets is not affected by τ_{p+1} .

6. $i < p$ and $j = p+1$. Then $w_{i,p+1}\tau_p = w_{i,p}$ and

$$d'_{i,p+1} = d_i(w_{i,p}Q) = d_{i,p}.$$

7. $i < p$ and $j = p$. Then $w_{i,p}\tau_p = w_{i,p+1}$ and

$$d'_{i,p} = d_i(w_{i,p+1}Q) = d_{i,p+1}.$$

8. $j < p$. In this case it is clear that $d_{i,j} = d'_{i,j}$.

Based on these computations, the difference in energies $E_{\tau_p R}(\tau_p Q) - E_R(Q)$ is given as follows. In cases 1, 4, and 5, and 8, $d'_{i,j} = d_{i,j}$ so these terms cancel. The sum

of the terms in cases 6 and 7 cancel. So it is enough to show that the sum of terms in 2 and 3 cancel, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{j>p+1} (d_{p+1}(\tau_p w_{p+1,j} Q) - d_{p+1}(w_{p+1,j} Q)) \\ &+ \sum_{j>p+1} (d_p(\tau_{p+1} \tau_p w_{p+1,j} Q) - d_p(w_{p,j} Q)). \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting $d_p(w_{p,j} Q) = d_p(\tau_p w_{p+1,j} Q)$, observe that without loss of generality it may be assumed that $m = 3$ and it must be shown that

$$(3.18) \quad 0 = d_2(\tau_1 \tau_2 Q) - d_2(Q) + d_1(\tau_2 \tau_1 Q) - d_1(Q).$$

Recall that in verifying (C4) it may be assumed that $\lambda_1 = M$. In this case [24, Remark 39] applies. Say $\mu_k = \lambda_1$. Then $d_k(Q) = 0$ and if $k > 1$, $d_{k-1}Q = 0$. There are three cases, namely $k = 1$, $k = 2$, or $k = 3$. If $k = 3$ then all four terms in (3.18) are zero. If $k = 1$ then the first and fourth terms are zero and the second and third agree, while if $k = 2$ then the second and third are zero and the first and fourth agree. $\square$

Corollary 24.

$$\sum_{b \in B^R} e^{\text{wt}(b)} q^{E_R(b)} = \sum_{\lambda} \text{ch} V^{\text{wt}_{\text{sl}}(\lambda)} K_{\lambda;R}(q),$$

where λ runs over partitions of length at most n .

Proof. The equality follows immediately from Theorem 23, the weight-preserving bijection (3.3), and [24, Theorem 11]. $\square$

4. TENSOR PRODUCT STRUCTURE ON DEMAZURE CRYSTALS

The tensor product structure for the Demazure crystals, is a consequence of an inhomogeneous version of [10, Theorem 2.3] that uses Lemma 21.

Theorem 25. *Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$ be a partition of n . Then*

$$\mathcal{B}_{w_\mu}(l\Lambda_0) \cong B^{\mu_m, l} \otimes \dots \otimes B^{\mu_1, l} \otimes u_{l\Lambda_0}$$

as classical $\widehat{\text{sl}}_n$ crystals, where the affine $\widehat{\text{sl}}_n$ Demazure crystal is viewed as a classical $\widehat{\text{sl}}_n$ -crystal by composing its weight function wt with the projection $P \rightarrow P_{\text{cl}}$. Moreover, if $v \mapsto b \otimes u_{l\Lambda_0}$ then

$$\langle d, l\Lambda_0 - \text{wt}(v) \rangle = E_R(b)$$

where the left hand side is the distance along the null root δ of v from the highest weight vector $u_{l\Lambda_0} \in \mathcal{V}(l\Lambda_0)$ and R is defined by $R_j = (l^{\mu_j})$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 25 and Corollary 24.

6. GENERALIZATION OF HAN'S MONOTONICITY FOR KOSTKA-FOULKES POLYNOMIALS

The following monotonicity property for the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials was proved by G.-N. Han [4]:

$$K_{\lambda, \mu}(q) \leq K_{\lambda \cup \{a\}, \mu \cup \{a\}}(q)$$

where $\lambda \cup \{a\}$ denotes the partition obtained by adding a row of length a to λ .

Here is the generalization of this result for the polynomials $K_{\lambda; R}(q)$ that was conjectured by A. N. Kirillov.

Theorem 26. *Let R be a dominant sequence of rectangles and (k^m) another rectangle. Then*

$$K_{\lambda; R}(q) \leq K_{\lambda \cup (k^m); R \cup (k^m)}(q)$$

where $\lambda \cup (k^m)$ is the partition obtained by adding m rows of size k to λ and $R \cup (k^m)$ is any dominant sequence of rectangles obtained by adding the rectangle (k^m) to R .

Proof. Write $R = (R_1, \dots, R_t)$, $R_0 = (k^m)$, and $R^+ = (R_0, R_1, \dots, R_t)$. Define the map $i_R : \text{LRT}(\lambda; R) \rightarrow \text{LRT}(\lambda \cup (k^m); R^+)$ by $i_R(Q) = P((Q + m)Y_0)$ where $Y_0 = \text{Key}((k^m))$. Since the letters of Y_0 are smaller than those of $Q + m$, it follows that $\text{shape}(i_R(Q)) = \lambda \cup (k^m)$. Moreover $i_R(Q)$ is R^+ -LR since it is Knuth equivalent to a shuffle of Y_0 and the tableau $Q + m$, which is R -LR in the alphabet $[m + 1, n + m]$. Thus the map i_R is well-defined. Let B represent the union of the zero-th and first subalphabets for $w_{0,j}R^+$ and let Y be the key tableau for the first subalphabet of $w_{0,j}R^+$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_0(w_{0,j}i_R(Q)) &= d_0(w_{0,j}QY_0) = d_0((w_{0,j}Q)Y_0) \\ (6.1) \quad &= d_0(((w_{0,j}Q)Y_0)|_B) = d_0(Y Y_0) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

by the Knuth invariance of d_0 , the fact that $w_{0,j}$ doesn't touch letters in the zero-th subalphabet, the definition of d_0 , the fact that $w_{0,j}Q \in \text{LRT}(w_{0,j}R)$, and direct calculation of the shape of $P(Y Y_0)$ combined with Proposition 20. If $i > 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} d_i(w_{i,j}i_R(Q)) &= d_i((w_{i,j}i_R(Q))|_{[m+1, m+n]}) \\ (6.2) \quad &= d_i(w_{i,j}(i_R(Q)|_{[m+1, m+n]})) \\ &= d_i(w_{i,j}Q). \end{aligned}$$

From (6.1) and (6.2) it follows that $E_{R^+}(i_R(Q)) = E_R(Q)$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] L. M. Butler, *Combinatorial properties of partially ordered sets associated with partitions and finite Abelian groups*, Ph. D. Thesis, MIT, May 1986.
- [2] P. Edelman and C. Greene, Balanced tableaux, *Adv. Math.* **63** (1987) 42–99.
- [3] M. Haiman, Dual equivalence with applications, including a conjecture of Proctor, *Discrete Math.* **99** (1992) 79–113.
- [4] G.-N. Han, Croissance des polynômes de Kostka, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I* **311** (1990) 269–272.
- [5] V. G. Kac, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [6] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, K. Misra, T. Miwa, T. Nakashima, and A. Nakayashiki, Affine crystals and vertex models, *Int. J. Modern Phys. A Suppl.* **1A** (1992) 449–484.
- [7] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, K. Misra, T. Miwa, T. Nakashima, and A. Nakayashiki, Perfect crystals of quantum affine Lie algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **68** (1992) 499–607.
- [8] J. Klimek, W. Kraśkiewicz, M. Shimozono and J. Weyman, On the Grothendieck group of modules supported in a nilpotent orbit in the Lie algebra $gl(n)$, preprint.

- [9] A. Kuniba, K. Misra, M. Okado, T. Takagi, and J. Uchiyama, Paths, Demazure Crystals and Symmetric Functions, q-alg/9612018.
- [10] A. Kuniba, K. Misra, M. Okado, and J. Uchiyama, Demazure Modules and Perfect Crystals, q-alg/9612018.
- [11] M. Kashiwara and T. Nakashima, Crystal graphs for representations of the q -analogue of classical Lie algebras, J. Algebra **165** (1994) 295–345.
- [12] D. E. Knuth, *Permutations, matrices, and generalized Young tableaux*, Pacific J. Math. textbf34 (1970) 709–727.
- [13] A. N. Kirillov and M. Shimozono, A generalization of the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials, math.QA/9803062.
- [14] A. Lascoux, *Cyclic permutations on words, tableaux and harmonic polynomials*, Proc. of the Hyderabad conference on algebraic groups, 1989, Manoj Prakashan, Madras, 1991, 323–347.
- [15] A. Lascoux, B. Leclerc, J.-Y. Thibon, *Crystal graphs and q -analogues of weight multiplicities for root systems of type A_n* , Lett. Math. Phys. to appear.
- [16] D. E. Littlewood and A. R. Richardson, *Group characters and algebra*, Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. London Ser. A **233** (1934) 99–141.
- [17] A. Lascoux and M.-P. Schützenberger, *Sur une conjecture de H. O. Foulkes*, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, **286A** (1978) 323–324.
- [18] A. Lascoux, M. P. Schützenberger, Le monoïde plaxique, in *Noncommutative structures in algebra and geometric combinatorics*, A. de Luca Ed., Quaderni della Ricerca Scientifica del C. N. R., Roma, 1981, 129–156.
- [19] G. Lusztig, Green Polynomials and Singularities of Unipotent Classes, Adv. Math. **42** (1981) 169–178.
- [20] I. G. MacDonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1979.
- [21] A. O. Morris, The characters of the group $GL(n, q)$, Math. Zeitschr. **81** (1963) 112–123.
- [22] A. Nakayashiki and Y. Yamada, Kostka Polynomials and Energy Functions in Solvable Lattice Models, q-alg/9512027.
- [23] G. de B. Robinson, On the representations of the symmetric group, Amer. J. Math. **60** (1938) 745–760.
- [24] M. Shimozono, A cyclage poset structure for Littlewood-Richardson tableaux, math.QA/9804037.
- [25] M. Shimozono, Multi-atoms and a monotonicity property for generalized Kostka polynomials, math.QA/9804038.
- [26] A. Schilling and S. Warnaar, Inhomogeneous lattice paths, generalized Kostka-Foulkes polynomials, and A_{n-1} -supernomials, math.QA/9802111.
- [27] C. Schensted, Longest increasing and decreasing sequences, Canad. J. Math **13** (1961) 179–191.
- [28] M.-P. Schützenberger, La correspondance de Robinson, in *Combinatoire et Représentations du Groupe Symétrique*, Strasbourg, 1976, D. Foata, ed., Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 579 (1977) 59–113.
- [29] M.-P. Schützenberger, Promotion des morphismes d’ensembles ordonnés, Disc. Math. **2** (1972) 73–94.
- [30] M. Shimozono and J. Weyman, *Bases of coordinate rings of closures of conjugacy classes of nilpotent matrix*, submitted.
- [31] M. Shimozono and J. Weyman, *Characters of modules supported in the conjugacy class of a nilpotent matrix*, math.QA/9804036.
- [32] J. Weyman, *The equations of conjugacy classes of nilpotent matrices*, Invent. Math. **98** (1989) 229–245.
- [33] D. E. White, *Some connections between the Littlewood-Richardson rule and the construction of Schensted*, J. Comb. Th. Ser. A **30** (1981) 237–247.

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, VIRGINIA TECH, BLACKSBURG, VA
E-mail address: mshimo@math.vt.edu